

where	erratum	correction	Notes
p. 8, last line before 1.3	but “聞” was not one of them.	but the character “聞” does not appear before the Warring States period.	“聞” does appear in Warring States documents (Harbsmeier 2016: 492), though not earlier (in the meaning ‘hear’) as far as we know.
p. 56, ex. 95	/ma 0 lok 8/	(Lángjià 郎架 dialect) /ma 0 lok 8/	Correcting based on Lǐ Jīnfāng (1999:199).
p. 56, ex. 103	鉛 *sə.ləʔ > zɪX > yí	鉛 *sə-ləʔ > zɪX > yí	*sə- is the instrumental prefix
p. 83, ex. 241; p. 125 (text); p. 125, ex. 479; p. 131, ex. 511; p. 168, ex. 684; p. 171, ex. 694.	pMǐn *yɔ B ‘give’	pMǐn (Baxter-Sagart) *yɔ B ‘give’	This is a pMǐn reconstruction by us, not by J. Norman. (W.S. Coblin, e-mail message of Aug. 11, 2017, to LS.) We now believe this reconstruction is incorrect; instead we believe Xiàmén /hɔ 6/ is a local development from 度 /tʰɔ 6/; see Lǚ Xiǎolíng 吕晓玲. 2016. Jìndài Quǎnzhōu, Xiàmén fāngyán jǐyǔ yì dòngcí dúyīn de yǎnbiàn 近代泉州·厦门方言给予义动词读音的演变--兼论漳州方言的给予义动词“互.” Zhōngguó yǔwén 中国语文 2016(5). 576–584.
p. 102, Table 4.27	k	*k	Add asterisk before ‘k’ in pMǐn column
p. 102, Table 4.27	[k]-	[k]	Remove hyphen after [k] in VN column
p. 103	As noted by Norman (1973)	As noted by Norman (1974)	Wrong year
p. 103, ex. 290	xjaemX	xjemX	Wrong MC form
p. 122, ex. 466	*m-r- > *m l- > *l- > MC ɣ-	*m-r- > *ml- > *l- > MC ɣ-	Remove blank space in *m l-
p. 122, ex. 466	*m-rʰ- > *m lʰ- > *lʰ- > MC d-	*m-rʰ- > *mlʰ- > *lʰ- > MC d-	Remove blank space in *m lʰ-
p. 125, ex. 477 (twice)	gɔp	gɔp	M. Alves, e-mail message to LS, Oct 30, 2016
p. 125, ex. 477	[ɣɔp D2]	[ɣɔp D2]	M. Alves, e-mail message to LS, Oct 30, 2016
p. 125, ex. 479	pMǐn *ɣ-	pMǐn (Baxter-Sagart) *ɣ-	We now believe this should not be reconstructed or Proto-Mǐn (see note on the erratum on p. 83, above).
p. 130, ex. 503	In the fifth [五 nguX < *C.ŋʰaʔ] month	In the fifth month	We originally took 五 wǔ in this <i>Shuōwén</i> entry to be a sound gloss, but that is incorrect (Ho Dah-an 2016: 209).
p. 161, Table 4.54	—	*gǰ	Replace em-dash with correction in *t.g- row, pHM column
p. 161, Table 4.55	—	ǰi- [z] L	Replace em-dash with correction in *k.dzʰ- row, VN column
p. 161, Table 4.55	—	ǰi- [z] L	Replace em-dash with correction in *k.dzr- row, VN column
p. 162, end of the paragraph before ex. 24	(Hǎo Yìxíng et al. 1989:1015)	( <i>Shì míng</i> 釋名, as quoted in <i>Jīngdiǎn shìwén</i> : Huáng Zhuō 2006: 789)	Incorrect reference (Ho Dah-an 2016: 218–220).
p. 172, ex. 706	/nian 1/	/nian 2/	Wrong Hakka form; W.S. Coblin, p.c. to LS

where	erratum	correction	Notes
p. 179, ex. 742.	(742) 螻 *mə-rat-s > ljejH > li ‘stinging insect’, pMǐn *-d-	(742) 螻 *mə-rat-s > ljejH > li ‘oyster’, pMǐn *-d-	The gloss ‘stinging insect’ is wrong: it comes from <i>Grammata Serica Recensa</i> 340ef, where it applies to a passage in <i>Zhuangzi</i> where the character 螻 probably just stands for 蠶 chài ‘scorpion’. This character’s habitual meaning, attested textually since Eastern Han, is “oyster”. The <i>Fúzhōu fāngyán cídiǎn</i> 《福州方言詞典》 gives Fúzhōu 螻 /tie 6/ with the meaning ‘oyster’. The usual Mandarin word for ‘oyster’ is 牡蠣 mǔlì < MC muwX-ljejH, which suggests a form with OC *m(ə)-r-, corresponding to Proto-Min *-d or *d-.
p. 179, ex. 744	鹿 *mə-r’ok > luwk > lù ‘deer’, pMǐn *-d-	鹿 *mə-r’ok > luwk > lù ‘deer’, pMǐn (Baxter-Sagart) *-d-	The Proto-Mǐn reconstruction is ours, not Norman’s.
p. 179, ex. 744	布央 /ma 0 lok 8/	布央 (Lángjià 郎架 dialect) /ma 0 lək 8/	Missing dialect indication; error in IPA
p. 187, ex. 781	補 *[Cə]-p’aʔ > puX > bǔ ‘to patch’	補 *[Cə]-p’aʔ > puX > bǔ ‘to patch’, VN vá ‘to repair’	Missing VN form
p. 187, Table 4.80	—	v- [v] H	Missing datum. Replace em-dash with correction in *Cə.p(̃)-row, VN column
p. 188, ex. 788	檐 *Cə.gam	檐 *Cə.[ɕ]am	Missing square brackets
p. 215, ex. 859	huà	huái	Incorrect modern standard Chinese reading (pointed out by Wang Hongzhi)
p. 228, last row of Table 5.31	*-əə   *-əəg   *-əəgs   *-əəŋ	*-uuu   *-uuug   *-uuugs   *-uuuŋ	
p. 242 ex. 963	lóu	lú	Incorrect modern standard Chinese reading (pointed out by Wang Hongzhi)
p. 247, end of note [1] on Table 5.46	merging with original *Tsraw	merging with original *Ts’raw	missing pharyngeal glide in OC reconstruction
p. 248, column “dissimilation” in Table 5.47	K <sup>v</sup> (r)ə	*K <sup>v</sup> (r)ə	Missing asterisk
p. 248, column “assimilation” of Table 5.47	K <sup>v</sup> ə > Ku	*K <sup>v</sup> ə < *Ku	Missing asterisks

where	erratum	correction	Notes
p. 250, ex. 1003	*[k] <sup>h</sup> om?	*[k] <sup>h</sup> om?	Missing pharyngeal glide in OC reconstruction
p. 253, “Đồng Tônghé” row of Table 5.52	*-ən   *-əd	*-ên   *-êd	
p. 253		The line “And also this rhyme sequence:” should appear after ex. 1007, not before it.	Incorrectly placed sentence
p. 253		The line “Furthermore, there are many cases where the same phonetic element was used” should appear after ex. 1008, not before it.	Incorrectly placed sentence
p. 261, 2 lines below ex. 1050	*[o]m	*-[o]m	Missing hyphen
p. 261, text following ex. 1051	幹 gàn < <i>kanH</i> < *[k] <sup>h</sup> ar-s,	幹 hán < <i>han</i> < *[g] <sup>h</sup> ar,	汉语大字典 <i>Hànyǔ dà zìdiǎn</i> gives the reading hán for this character in the meaning ‘wall of a well’, and notes that the word is sometimes written as 韓, which we reconstruct as *[g] <sup>h</sup> ar.
p. 262, after ex. 1053	“We will see below (section 5.5.1.4) that the Eastern Hàn commentator”	“The Eastern Hàn commentator”	Remove “We will see below (section 5.5.1.4) that” (since section 5.5.1.4. does not mention this point) and begin sentence with “The Eastern Hàn commentator...”
p. 262, after ex. 1053	“had changed early to *-j)”	“had changed early to *-j) (Coblin 1983:202, ex. 95)”	Missing reference
p. 268, Table 5.55, the Đồng Tônghé row	*âd	*-âd	Missing hyphen
p. 269, last row of Table 5.56	<i>Tsrje</i> > <i>Trea</i>	<i>Tsrje</i> > <i>Tsrea</i>	Typo in MC form
p. 271, Table 5.57			The example “獺 *[m-ŋ] <sup>h</sup> at > * <sup>h</sup> rat > trhaet > tă ‘otter’” should be in the row beginning with “*C <sup>h</sup> rat”, not the row beginning with “*K <sup>w</sup> rat”.
p. 279, last cell of the third row of Table 5.64	~ wa	~ -wa	Missing hyphen

where	erratum	correction	Notes
p. 281, Table 5.66, the row beginning with “*Tot”, MC column	<i>Tywet</i>	<i>Tsywet</i>	Typo in MC form
p. 284, Table 5.70, in the “Li (1971)” row, in the column under “*-ət-s”	*-əd(h)	*-əd <sup>h</sup>	Unwanted parentheses
same place	*-iəd(h)	*-iəd <sup>h</sup>	Unwanted parentheses
p. 284, Table 5.70, in the “Pulleyblank (1977–1978)” row	*-iəl   *-iət   *-iəts   *-iən	see comment to the right	There should be two rows for Pulleyblank’s reconstructions: one for “*K-, *P-, *K <sup>w</sup> -”, followed by “*-əl   *-ət   *əls   *ən”, and a second with “*T(s)-”, followed by “*-iəl   *-iət   *-iəts   *-iən”.
p. 284, Table 5.70, in the “Baxter (1992)” row	*-it-s	*-its	Baxter (1992) did not hyphenate the postcoda *-s.
p. 288, last line, and p. 289 under Table 5.76	in the 真 Zhēn rhyme group	in the 質 Zhì and 真 Zhēn rhyme groups respectively	
p. 289, Table 5.76, last row, second cell	*-ii	*-iil	Actually, Zhèngzhāng splits the traditional 脂 Zhī rhyme group into 2 parts, reconstructed *-il and *-i, parallel to *-ik ~ *-it in the 質 Zhi rhyme group and *-iŋ ~ *-in in the 真 Zhēn rhyme group (2003:72, 168).
p. 313, Table 5.101, last column of line beginning with “*C(r)am”	檐 *Cə.gam	檐 *Cə.[ŋ]am	Missing square brackets
p. 334 (character index at 道 dào)	<i>dawX</i> < *[kə.l]ʰuʔ ‘way’: 194, 247, 247	道 dào < <i>dawX</i> < *[kə.l]ʰuʔ ‘way’: 184, 246, 247	Two incorrect page numbers in this entry
p. 367 (character index at 險 xiǎn)	<i>xjaemX</i>	<i>xjemX</i>	Wrong MC form
p. 371 (character index at 鉛 yì)	*sə.ləʔ	*sə-ləʔ	*sə- is the instrumental prefix

where	erratum	correction	Notes
p. 371 (character index at 抑 yì)	*[ʔ]ik	*[ʔ](r)ik	The reconstruction on ex. (957) p. 240 is correct.
p. 373 (character index at 興 yǔ)	124	125	Wrong page number in character index
p. 392, endnote 98 to Chapter 4	*Nə was phonetically [ŋ].	*Nə was phonetically [ŋə].	
p. 395, endnote 19, second line	桓 Huán (-won)	魂 Hún (-won)	
p. 400, endnote 75, second line	*et(s) and *-ot(s)	*-et(-s) and *-ot(-s)	Missing hyphens
p. 416	Yú Nǎiyǒng 余迺永	Yú Nǎiyǒng 余迺永	Incorrect character
<b>References</b>			
Baxter, William H. 1992. <i>A handbook of Old Chinese phonology</i> (Trends in Linguistics: Studies and Monographs 64). Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.			
and Monographs 64). Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.			
Coblin, W. South. 1983. <i>A handbook of Eastern Han sound glosses</i> . Hong Kong: Chinese University Press.			
Féng Àizhēn 馮愛珍. 1998. <i>Fúzhōu fāngyán cídiǎn</i> 福州方言詞典. Nánjīng 南京: Jiāngsū jiàoyù chūbǎnshè 江蘇教育出版社.			
Hànyǔ dà zìdiǎn biānjí wěiyuánhui 汉语大字典编辑委员会. 1990. <i>Hànyǔ dà zìdiǎn</i> 漢語大字典. 9 vols. Chéngdū 成都: Sìchuān císhū chūbǎnshè 四川辭書出版社.			
Harbsmeier. 2016. Irrefutable conjectures. a Review of William H. Baxter and Laurent Sagart, <i>Old Chinese. A new reconstruction. Monumenta serica</i> 64(2). 445–504. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1080/02549948.2016.1259882">https://doi.org/10.1080/02549948.2016.1259882</a> .			
Ho Dah-an 何大安. 2016. Such errors could have been avoided: Review of <i>Old Chinese: a new reconstruction</i> . <i>Journal of Chinese Linguistics</i> 44(1). 175–230. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1353/jcl.2016.0004">https://doi.org/10.1353/jcl.2016.0004</a> .			
Huáng Zhuō 黃焯. 1980. <i>Jīngdiǎn shìwén huìjiào</i> 經典釋文彙校. Běijīng 北京: Zhōnghuá shūjú 中華書局.			
Karlsgren, Bernhard. 1957. Grammata serica recensa. <i>Bulletin of the Museum of Far Eastern Antiquities</i> 29. 1–332.			
Lǐ Jīnfāng 李錦芳. 1999. <i>Bùyāngyǔ yánjiū</i> 布央语研究. Běijīng 北京: Zhōngyāng mínzú dàxué chūbǎnshè 中央民族大学出版社.			
Lǚ Xiǎolíng 吕晓玲. 2016. Jìndài Quánzhōu, Xiàmén fāngyán jǐyǔ yì dòngcí dúyīn de yǎnbiàn 近代泉州、厦门方言给予义动词读音的演变——兼论漳州方言的给予义动词“互。” <i>Zhōngguó yǔwén</i> 中国语文 2016(5).			
Zhèngzhāng Shàngfāng 郑张尚芳. 2003. <i>Shànggǔ yīnxì</i> 上古音系 = Old Chinese phonology ( <i>Zhōngguó dāngdài yǔyánxué cóngshū</i> 中国当代语言学丛书). Shànghǎi 上海: Shànghǎi jiàoyù chūbǎnshè 上海教育出版社.			